

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/541,779	HIROTA, HISATOSHI
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Timothy P. Solak	3746

**All Participants:**

(1) Timothy P. Solak.

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Amy Salmela.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 June 2005

**Time:** PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

10 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

I informed Amy Salmela that the Figures did disclose the claimed limitations, namely the discharge pressure port and the inhalation pressure port interconnected by a leakage passage (Claim 10) or the differential pressure port and a discharge pressure duct interconnected by a leakage passage (Claim 13). I suggested changing the Claims such that a differential port and inhalation port were connected as a possible solution.

Amy Salmela informed me that Claim 10 need to be corrected; but that Claim 13 was correct and referred to the embodiment of Figure 8. I agreed with Amy Salmela that Figure 8 did show the inhalation and differential ports interconnected.

Amy Salmela agreed to all the changes set forth in the examiner's amendment.